

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FI	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/083,926	10/083,926 02/27/2002		Lixiao Wang	10527-395001 / 02-026	026 4859	
26161	7590	03/29/2006		EXAM	EXAMINER	
FISH & RIG	CHARDS	SON PC		HO, UY	YEN T	
P.O. BOX 10					D. D. D. D. D. C. D. C.	
MINNEAPO	MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55440-1022			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
,				3731		

DATE MAILED: 03/29/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

C.
\cup

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/083,926	WANG ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
(Jackie) Tan-Uyen T. Ho	3731		

Delote the filling of all Appeal Difer	Examiner	Art Unit						
	(Jackie) Tan-Uyen T. Ho	3731						
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress					
THE REPLY FILED <u>16 March 2006</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS AF	PPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	ALLOWANCE.						
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or this application, applicant must timely file one of the follo places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliantime periods:	n the same day as filing a Notice of wing replies: (1) an amendment, aff otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in o	Appeal. To avoid aba idavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	ice, which FR 41.31; or (3)					
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailir	g date of the final rejection.							
no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire	The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.							
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).								
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b)	ktension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig or than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropri inally set in the final Offi	ate extension fee ce action; or (2) as					
NOTICE OF APPEAL	nliance with 27 CER 41 27 must be	filed within two month	se of the date of					
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in com filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed 	ension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th	e appeal. Since					
<u>AMENDMENTS</u>								
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, They raise new issues that would require further companies. 	but prior to the date of filing a brief ponsideration and/or search (see NO	, will <u>not</u> be entered b TE below);	ecause					
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE bel	ow);							
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or	etter form for appeal by materially re	ducing or simplifying	the issues for					
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a		ected claims.						
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)) 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.		mnliant Amendment	(PTOL-324)					
 The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s) 		impliant Amendment	(I TOL-024).					
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a		timely filed amendme	ent canceling the					
non-allowable claim(s).		II he entered and an	avalanation of					
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro	byided below or appended.	ii be entered and an t	explanation of					
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:								
Claim(s) objected to:								
Claim(s) rejected:								
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		•						
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE	t hefere or on the date of filing a N	otice of Appeal will be	ot he entered					
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, b because applicant failed to provide a showing of good a was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 	nd sufficient reasons why the affidar	vit or other evidence i	s necessary and					
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar 	overcome all rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a					
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanati	on of the status of the claims after e	entry is below or attac	hed.					
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 11. ☑ The request for reconsideration has been considered by	ut does NOT place the application i	n condition for allowa	nce because:					
See Continuation Sheet. 12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper I	No(s)						
13. Other:		Remipell	lelo					
		(Jackie) Tah-Uyen Primary Examiner						
		Art Unit: 3/31	/n/_					

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Response to Arguments Applicant's arguments filed 3/16/06 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

In response to applicant's argument that the examiner's conclusion of obviousness is based upon improper hindsight reasoning, it must be recognized that any judgment on obviousness is in a sense necessarily a reconstruction based upon hindsight reasoning. But so long as it takes into account only knowledge which was within the level of ordinary skill at the time the claimed invention was made, and does not include knowledge gleaned only from the applicant's disclosure, such a reconstruction is proper. See In re McLaughlin, 443 F.2d 1392, 170 USPQ 209 (CCPA 1971).

Col 4, line 53 to col. 5, line 15 of Vigil reference provide knowledge to one skill in the art that thin outer wall of balloon cause a concern for attaching atherotomes 19 and can not be use effectively in applications wherein the stenotic tissue is particularly tough. Vigil reference teach that wherein the stenotic tissue is particularly tough, a thin wall balloon can not be used and the atherotomes have to be attached to a stiffer member, a catheter tube 14 for such applications (col. 4, lines 62-68).

Vigil reference teaches that stiffer underline member would enhance oscillatory motion of atherotomes and a thin outer wall of the balloon do not provide a sufficient stiffness to impart oscillatory motion to atherotomes 19. Such teaching motivates or provides knowledge to one skill in the art to look for solving the problem of the thin wall balloon for use in applications wherein the stenotic tissue is tough. Grayzel reference teaches how the thin wall balloon can be reinforced in order to provide the stiffness to the balloon wall at desired areas. Therefore, one ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made would want to provide the thin wall balloon of Vigil with a reinforcing configuration of Grayzel in order to enhance the stiffness of the balloon thus enhance the oscillatory motion of the atherotomes attached to the balloon for use in an application wherein the stenotic tissue is particularly tough.

The examiner's conclusion of obviousness is base on knowledge which was within the level of ordinary skill at the time the claimed invention was made and does not include knowledge gleaned from the application disclosure, such a reconstruction is proper.